SECRET,

DIRECTOR OF CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE

## **Security Committee**

29 June 1983

25X1

Minutes

Two Hundred and Sixty-ninth Meeting Wednesday, 29 June 1983, 1000 - 1135 Hours Room 4E64, Langley Headquarters Building

> Chairman Presiding

MEMBERS PRESENT

Mr. Robert C. Allen, Department of the Navy

Mr. Maynard Anderson, Office of the Secretary of Defense

Mr. James Callahan. Office of the Secretary of the Air Force

Central Intelligence Agency

Col. George J. Mercuro, Department of the Air Force

Defense Intelligence Agency

Mr. D. Jerry Rubino, Department of Justice

ALTERNATES PRESENT

, National Security Agency

Mr. Frank Dill, Department of the Army

Mr. Dan Downum, Federal Bureau of Investigation

Central Intelligence Agency

Defense Intelligence Agency

Mr. Roger D. Smith, Department of the Air Force

ALSO PRESENT

Mr. Gerald L. Berkin, Department of the Navy

Central Intelligence Agency

Mr. W. Kenneth Crosher, Department of State

, Central Intelligence Agency (C)

National Security Agency

Central Intelligence Agency (C)

Mr. Donald Stigers, Department of State

Executive Secretary

SECOM Staff

25X1

SECOM-M-269

## Preliminary Comments

The Chairman:

1. Welcomed as the new DIA member, and noted that he brought extensive experience to the assignment.  2. Advised that the DCI spoke to the Armed Forces Policy Council on 20 June about damaging consequences of and measures to be taken to prevent unauthorized disclosures of intelligence. A similar presentation to the White House staff on 21 June was the subject of a "leak" in the Lou Cannon report	25X1 25X1
Mr. Casey on 23 June about further steps in educating Government officials to the critical need to stop disclosures. Plans are underway to videotape Mr. Casey's presentation as a lead-in to a live talk by senior security	
officers who would field any questions.  said several SECOM members had expressed interest in having Mr. Casey's presentation delivered to their departments and agencies.	25X1 25X1
the fire departments and agent res.	23/1
3. Reported that efforts to draft nondisclosure agreements required by NSDD-84 continue. said draft agreements were circulated for comment after the 19 May ISOO meeting, and another meeting was held on 28 June to discuss changes to those drafts. He advised that we seemed to be losing	25X1
ground during the process, as the latest draft seemed weaker than the existing Form 4193 that most of the Community uses. He noted that political equities were probably an unavoidable consideration in this effort.	25 <b>X</b> 1
4. Said Fred Wood, the Congressional Office of Technology Assessment (OTA) action officer responsible for their study of the polygraph, called him earlier in June seeking assistance.  noted that Mr. Wood volunteered comment that several persons he spoke to expressed the opinion that the Administration wants to use the polygraph to choke off undesired "leaks," but wouldn't use it in cases where information was "leaked" to support an Administration objective. He assured Mr. Wood that SECOM's objective was to prevent the disclosure of legitimate national security information. A copy of the 1980 Personnel Security Survey done under SECOM auspices was sent to Mr. Wood in response to his request for data.  mentioned the	25X1
mentioned the DDCI's wish to be kept abreast of developments concerning this or any other polygraph study, with particular regard to contacts on the subject with Community agencies. He asked members to bring pertinent information to his attention.	25X1 25X1
5. Noted that the Personnel Security Subcommittee was still on the hook to complete for SECOM consideration a draft set of parameters for a validity study of the polygraph by the Community.  study probably could not be complete while the OTA study was in progress.	25X1 25X1

6. Stated his appreciation for the forthcoming attitude on the part of	
several members that resulted in nominations to fill all three of the vacant	051/4
subcommittee chairmanshipsnoted that three officers were	25 <b>X</b> 1
nominated to chair the Physical Security Working Group, and that he had	
selected Jerry Berkin, of Navy, who brought an exceptionally broad background in security to the task, to serve as chairman.	25X1
State member for proposing two experienced officers for this post, and for	20/(1
offering support for the conduct of the physical cocumity officers cominant	
He next advised that had nominated to chair the Security	25X1
Awareness Subcommittee, and that had nominated to	25 <b>X</b> 1
He next advised that had nominated to chair the Security  Awareness Subcommittee, and that had nominated to chair the Personnel Security Subcommittee.  Said both	25 <b>X</b> 1
momentees had impressive experience in the fields involved, and stated that he	
would like to designate them as chairmen of these subcommittees unless members wished to offer other nominations or had objections. There being none, the	
designations were made. concluded this discussion by noting	25X1
designations were made. concluded this discussion by noting that he had called to express appreciation for his service with the Security Awareness body. He asked the incumbent chairmen of the Personnel	25X1
the Security Awareness body. He asked the incumbent chairmen of the Personnel	
Security and Security Awareness Subcommittees to arrange the transition with	
their successors and advise the SECOM staff.	25X1
7. Reported that he and met with Miss Page, Deputy Director	25X1
of the IC Staff, to discuss the FY 1984 SECOM budget request which the SSCI	
proposed to cut severely (leaving us with only about . She	25 <b>X</b> 1
proposed to cut severely (leaving us with only about . She agreed to support the SECOM reclama. said he hoped the Senate Committee would reconsider its position and agree with the HPSCI to	25X1
Senate Committee would reconsider its position and agree with the HPSCI to	0.5344
fund our full request.	25X1
8. Noted that he had asked members at the April meeting to review and	
comment on the proposed FY 1985 budget submission.	25 <b>X</b> 1
comment on the proposed FY 1985 budget submission.  members supported our request, totaling in base level items and	25X1
in new initiatives. He stated that the IC Staff had instructed us	25 <b>X</b> 1
to reduce the request to a total of a cut of 68% - with the	25X1
revised request due to the IC Staff by II July. He invited attention to	
copies at members' places of our proposed submission, and asked members to provide timely comments on those items which they would like to see included	
in the reduced	25 <b>X</b> 1
9. Said we had provided the IG/CM secretariat our comments on the second	
draft of their countermeasure organization study noted	25X1
that, as was the case with the first draft, most of our comments were on	
technical security matters. He invited attendees who held copies of the two drafts, and who would like copies of the SECOM responses, to contact the staff	
and request copies of our responses.	25 <b>X</b> 1
and request septes or our responses.	20/(1
10. Advised that the draft revision of DCID 1/7 had been sent to Walt	
Elder with a request to issue it as a DCID rather than as an implementer.	
Mr. Elder agreed, and will send the document, reformatted as a DCID, back to	
the staff for proofreading. The result will probably not bear a number until	
a new numbering system is developed. The exception for us will be DCID 1/14, which Mr. Elder agreed should retain its original designation because of its	
established status in litigation and other uses outside the Community.	25X1
. Jan on the series was and one of the opinion by	<b>ムリハ</b>

3 SECRET

11. Noted that a new group on computer security, called the ELINFOSEC Minimum Standards Ad Hoc Group, was established on 24 June at the instance of and Dr. Ruth Davis. The group, chaired by of the IC Staff with Gene Epperly of OSD as deputy, is tasked to review electronic information security standards, safeguards and requirements in Government and industry (e.g., bank safeguards for electronic fund transfers), and develop a set of standards as a preliminary policy for minimum application to Community computer networks. These standards will focus on "critical" systems that process, store or transmit intelligence. Part of the ad hoc group's effort will be to identify systems considered to be "critical."	25X1 25X1
12. Stated that of the staff was in California reviewing and evaluating a contractor's demonstration of a technique developed under an Office of Research and Development contract to help identify sensitive documents which have been misused. said the technique's applicability is one aspect being reviewed by	25X1 25X1 25X1
13. Reported that this was Col. Mercuro's last SECOM meeting, he being reassigned to Florida to serve as Inspector General at Patrick Air Force Base. asked that the record show his special thanks to Col. Mercuro for his active and constructive support of the Committee as a member, and particularly for his outstanding performance as chairman of the Compartmentation Subcommittee. Col. Mercuro said he had enjoyed his association with SECOM. He hoped to ease the disappointment of departure by organizing the first annual Mercuro Open Golf Tournament next December.	25X1
ITEM 1 Approval of Minutes	
In the absence of requests for change, the minutes of the 25 May 1983 meeting were approved as written.	25 <b>X</b> 1
ITEM 2 Subcommittee Reports	
A. Physical Security - reported that the Physical Security Working Group (PSWG) met on 15 June to complete arrangements for the first	25 <b>X</b> 1
seminar for Community physical security officers, scheduled for 8-12 August at working group members as the first "class." Four seminars are programmed for calendar 1984. said most speakers have been lined up, with the remainder to be arranged shortly. He said the members would critique the presentations at the first running, and make adjustments as needed. He stated the working group will cover physical security matters for intelligence in general, not just SCI. The Community will be invited to nominate attendees at subsequent runnings of the seminar.	25X1 25X1
B. Personnel Security - reported on the Personnel Security Subcommittee 22 June meeting. They discussed the tenth running of the adjudicators, seminary scheduled for 15 10 Aprend the tenth running of the adjudicators.	25 <b>X</b> 1
dicators' seminar, scheduled for 15-19 August. Nominations are to be provided the SECOM staff in writing no later than 15 July, and are to give each nominee's name and title, SSN, work address and clearance status.	25 <b>X</b> 1
said they also discussed arranging one or two short (i.e., two	25 <b>X</b> 1

Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2010/08/18 : CIA-RDP87-00812R000100210010-3	
SECRET	25 <b>X</b> 1
·	
or three days) conferences for personnel security managers on behavioral sciences and legal issues bearing on personnel security, as suggested by said subcommittee members believed there was a need for and interest in such a conference. The members indicated agreement	25X1
with the concept. He asked for guidance on how to proceed.  described the results of subcommittee deliberations on the proposed addition to the draft revision of DCID 1/14.	25X1 25X1 25X1
C. Security Advisory Group - reported that he had returned from Moscow the previous evening. He said the Department of State had established an Embassy Construction Coordinating Committee (MC <sup>3</sup> ) to address matters such as space allocation, secure rooms and moving of equipment in the new embassy. He advised that brickwork on the chancery building was up to the sixth floor, but that utility lines were far behind schedule. Work on the	25X1
housing units is almost finished. He said he doubted that the scheduled 1985 completion date would be met. summarized the stop-work order, the Soviet walk-off and return and actions concerning technical security inspection equipment. He advised that the technical countermeasure effort for the new building has been well supported by the Community (especially CIA and NSA), and he expressed his appreciation for the rapport developed between mid-	25X1
level personnel from the agencies involved.  noted his concern about possible responses to requests from friendly countries for advice from the U. S. on what they should do and not do to secure their own buildings. He stated that this issue had been referred to the Assistant Secretary of State for Administration for consideration. In response to questions from members, he advised that about 25 SeaBees were on-site in Moscow, and that an average of eight to ten security specialists would be there for at least another year.	25X1
	25 <b>X</b> 1
D. Technical Surveillance Countermeasures - reported that most of their recent meeting was taken up with discussions on the response to be	25 <b>X</b> 1
made to the second draft IG/CM countermeasure study.  mented for the quality and timeliness of his work on that response.  summarized negotiations with GSA about construction of the expanded ITC facilities. He noted that GSA's cost estimate had been unacceptably high, and that they were now out of the picture. He advised that arrangements had been made with the architect GSA had originally retained to carry the project forward. The current estimate calls for construction to cost about \$400,000 plus about \$56,000 for the architect's fee (includes construction monitoring). The design review is scheduled for mid-July, with construction to start in	25X1 25X1 25X1
October.	25 <b>X</b> 1
ITEM 3 DCID 1/14 Revision	25X1
noted dissemination to members of four alternatives on language to be added to the DCID revision to address the SCI access eligibility of persons determined to have disclosed classified information to those not authorized to receive it. He advised that Air Force, Army, CIA, DIA, Energy, FBI, NSA, Navy, SAFSS and Treasury had voted for the SECOM version; Mr. Anderson had voted for the OSD version, but advised that if his	201

5 SECRET

Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2010/08/18 : CIA-RDP87-00812R000100210010-3	
SECRET	25 <b>X</b> ′
alternative was not supported by the majority, he would vote for the SECOM	
staff version. State voted for the CIA alternative, and Justice for the UDIS	
proposal. said he would like this matter to go forward as an	25 <b>X</b> ′
agreed position so the NFIC could concentrate on the policy division con-	
cerning duration of investigative coverage. Messrs. Stigers and Rubino said	
they would support the SECOM staff alternative, thus making the action unanimous.	25 <b>X</b> ′
unanimous. complimented Col. Press for the clarity and specificity with which he supported the SECOM alternative for the Army.	25/
specificative for the Army.	25 <b>X</b> ′
	2071
ITEM 4 Proposed Security Awareness Subcommittee Charter	
	0.514
noted that copies of the proposed charter had been sent	25 <b>X</b> ′
to members with the agenda. He advised that NSA had suggested minor changes requested by the chairman-designate of the subcommittee. Members	OEV.
requested by the chairman-designate of the subcommittee. Members agreed to Mr. recommendation that SECOM action on this charter	25 <b>X</b> ′ 25 <b>X</b> ′
be postponed until has had a chance to discuss it with subcommittee	25X <sup>2</sup>
members.	25 <b>X</b> ′
ITEM 5 New Business	
invited attention to copies at members' places of	25 <b>X</b> ′
1invited attention to copies at members' places of a unanimous recommendation by the Unauthorized Disclosures Investigations	
Subcommittee that SECOM members nominate a person to spend a month with the	
SECOM staff to conduct a limited study of unauthorized disclosures of intel-	
ligence.   said the proposed method was to take information available	25 <b>X</b> ′
in Community agency logs of "leaks" to try to determine (1) where classified	
information leaks came from; (2) which media vehicles were principally	
involved; and (3) what subjects were most frequently subject to disclosure. The object would be to use the results to ensure that security resources are	
applied to the areas of greatest risk. The results would provide hard facts	
to validate or change "conventional wisdom" on the three areas involved.	
said this effort would support such efforts as the package	25 <b>X</b> ′
being drafted now to request Justice to cause the FBI to investigate a "leak"	
in Aviation Week, with the seriousness of the situation to be demonstrated by	
a listing of the numerous other "leaks" of intelligence in the same magazine	
during recent years. Col. Mercuro spoke in favor of the proposal as a useful start. and others indicated their support. In response to Mr.	25 <b>X</b> ′
request for provision of an officer to do the work, Mr.	25X1
said he thought he would be able to do so. and Mr.	25 <b>X</b> ′
said they would consider providing officers from their agencies.	25X
2. asked for guidance on whether the National Security Council	25 <b>X</b> ′
The second of th	
Staff should be invited to sit on the UDIS. Mr. Anderson and noted potential problems involved in doing so. No members supported this, and	25 <b>X</b> ′
the issue was dropped from consideration. Members also discussed and rejected	
possible representation on the UDIS by the ISOO staff. Mr. Stigers noted that	
any needed ACDA representation probably could be arranged through the	
Department of State on an adhoc basis.	25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1 25X1

advised that all 11 responses received on the proposed data elements to be used by Community agencies to record in their files common items of information on unauthorized disclosures were supportive, but Mr. Anderson's concurrence was highly qualified. Mr. Anderson replied that the OSD element which would be involved had asked him to state the qualifications. He stated that OSD could use the data elements proposed.	25X1 25X1
asked him and Mr. Snider of OSD to collaborate on developing common data elements for use by the Community in recording damage assessments. He further noted that the IG/CM wanted these elements to be used for data on all disclosures, including press, defectors, technical surveillance and espionage cases. Mr. Anderson commented that program managers were the only ones who could meaningfully state how they had been damaged by espionage or other means	25X1
causing loss of sensitive data. said the UDIS didn't seem to be the proper body to address this matter. He asked members to comment on how they thought SECOM could be responsive in this damage assessment project. Mr.	25X1
suggested that SECOM advise the IG/CM that we cannot participate in this project as it involves matters beyond our jurisdiction and within the jurisdiction of others. Mr. Anderson said he agreed. The other members	25X1
indicated their assent to this suggestion.	25 <b>X</b> 1
5. Mr. Rubino asked which, if any, members could provide him information on the nature and extent of their agencies' practices on sharing narcotics intelligence with the Drug Enforcement Agency. Several responses were provided for later follow-up by Mr. Rubino.	25 <b>X</b> 1
6. Mr. Anderson advised that both houses of Congress had attached a rider to the Defense Appropriations Bill to limit use of the polygraph in Defense to what was in effect on 1 January 1983. The rider would also require the President to report annually to the Congress on the validity and reliability of the polygraph. Mr. Anderson said this would make it impossible for Defense to comply fully with NSDD-84. He said Defense would ask the White House for guidance. He noted that the same bill, however, provides desired relief from FOIA requirements, making it difficult to oppose.	. 25 <b>X</b> 1
7. said part of the DCI's concern about "leaks" focused on the security of the National Intelligence Daily (NID). He described a recent DCI letter to NID recipients stating ground rules for use of the document - the NID is intended for use by only the single addressee for each copy; each copy is to be returned in 24 hours; and NIDs are not to be reproduced. The letter, sent to 172 recipients, noted flagrant violations of all three rules, and asked for responses on recipients' practices by 16 June. About a third of the addressees made no response. Those responses received suggest that the 24-hour rule is not wholly reasonable (e.g., weekends, TDY by executives).	25 <b>X</b> 1
I Said an analysis of responses was being prepared for the DCI. He	25 <b>X</b> 1
asked members knowledgeable of any nonrespondents to try to get them to answer the letter.	25 <b>X</b> 1

<ul> <li>Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2010/08/18 : CIA-RDP87-00812R000100210010-3</li> </ul>	
SECRET	25X1
ITEM 6 - Next Meetings	
advised that the next two regular meetings were scheduled for 10:00 a.m. on 3 August and 14 September, both Wednesdays. He said that would put us in line for the annual, overnight SECOM seminar scheduled for the 11th and 12th of October 1983.	25X1 25X1 25X1
	25 <b>X</b> 1
# Executive Secretary	

8 SECRET